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**Supplementary file 2: Health conditions explored and reported quality of information and advice across the 14 included papers, exploring the quality of information and advice in online peer support groups.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Condition(s) in focus** | **Category of health condition**  | **Quality of information and advice** | **References** |
| Coronavirus | Acute and long-term physical health condition | Some good quality and some misinformation | [47,51,54] |
| Diabetes | Long-term physical health condition | Some good quality and some misinformation | [45,51,56]  |
| Cancer | Long-term physical health condition | Misinformation | [45,51] |
| Eating disorders (anorexia, bulimia) | Long-term mental health condition | Misinformation | [45,51]  |
| Eye-related disorders (Glaucoma) | Long-term physical health condition | Misinformation | [45,57]  |
| Heart disease | Long-term physical health condition | Misinformation | [45,49] |
| Human Papillomavirus Virus | Acute and long-term physical health condition | Misinformation | [51,53] |
| Alcohol disorder | Long-term mental health condition | Unreported | [45] |
| Chickenpox | Acute physical health condition | Misinformation | [56] |
| Dental | Acute or long-term physical health condition | Misinformation | [45] |
| Ear related disorders (Hearing loss, tinnitus, and vestibular disorders) | Long-term physical health condition | Misinformation | [46] |
| Endometriosis | Long-term physical health condition | Misinformation | [50] |
| Human immunodeficiency virus | Long-term physical health condition | Some good quality and some misinformation | [56] |
| Inflammatory bowel disease | Long-term physical health condition | Unreported | [45] |
| Microtia | Long-term physical health condition | Misinformation | [55] |
| Obesity | Long-term physical health condition | Some good quality and some misinformation | [48] |
| Opioid use disorder | Long-term mental health condition | Misinformation | [52] |
| Other pandemics (H1N1, Zika, Ebola diphtheria) | Acute and long-term physical health condition | Misinformation | [45,51] |
| Sexual health conditions | Acute or long-term physical health condition | Unreported | [45] |
| Sickle cell disease | Long-term physical health condition | Misinformation | [44] |
| Spinal disorder | Long-term physical health condition | Unreported | [45] |
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